RECEIVED

Before the

NOV 3 0 1998

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20544

FCC MAIL ROOM

In the matter of)	WT Docket No.98-143
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review)	RM-9148
Amendment of Part 97)	RM-9150
of the Commissions'	j	RM-9196
Amateur Service Rules	i	

COMMENTS OF

G.D. Brentley (N7DCS,Extra Class) 11816 N.E. 80th St. Vancouver, WA. 98682 (360) 254-9610

I, Gil Brentley, hereby file these comments on 30 Novemver, 1998, re: the F.C.C.'s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WT Docket 98-143.

Summary: A) The F.C.C. proposal for restructuring has merit only if it results in a form of simplification that 1) reduces license processing workload, and 2) restructures with a minimum of confusion and transitional discontinuities, 3) retains as much HF Band allocations structure for resulting license classes as possible (for the modified license classes), and 4) very importantly, offers real incentive and challenge to individuals testing for advancement; B) Simple elimination of two (previous) entry-level license classes may reduce F.C.C. license processing workload, but will not, by itself, offer the present or prospective

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9
List ABCDE

Amateur Radio Community the best or most appropriate solutions or alternatives to licensing and/or band privlidges. C) A Chart of proposed (HF) Band allocations is included to offer a modified alternative to the A.R.R.L. band allocation proposal.

Personal Credentials: G.D.Brentley, graduate of Portland State University, 1969, Military Veteran(Korea)U.S.Army Missile Command,; volunteer teacher - Portland School District - Seven years; interpretation of High-Altitude Infra-red images of Meso-American Archaeological sites of Northern Guatemela (the Peten) and Yucatan; father of three children (who achieved many accomplishments in high-school acedemics); licensed as General Class in 1981, then Advanced, then Extra in 1989.

re: WT Docket 98-143

Comments as follows:

- I) Retain and/or adopt the A.R.R.L. Proposal, in concept, for Four (4) License Classes;
- II) Modify the proposed license class (privlidge) upgrade license to include a CW element to justify additional CW sub-band privlidges:
- III) Modify the (A.R.R.L.) HF Amateur Band proposals to provide and allow CW sub-band privlidges for each license upgrade;
 - IV) Retain increasingly higher levels of difficulty (of written examinations) for successively higher license classes;
 - V) Retain an entry level license with VHF and UHF privlidges.
- Philosophy of above proposal: Three things are implicit and highly important regarding the above proposals: A) Severly reducing code requirements for HF band privlidges will ultimately result in an extremely relaxed (possibly near chaotic) CW and Voice operations in the HF Spectrum (Not desireable); B) Retaining an entry level license class with VHF and UHF privlidges with no code requirement, is paramount to attracting and maintaining new licensees who have computer skills and interests in operating through the Amateur international satellites. A large number of future satellite, cellular and computer technicians and engineers will most likely come from this very important group of licensees.
 - C) As is widely known in the educational community of this country, simply making getting a high-school diploma easier for students, does not produce an educated class or generation of future U.S. citizens. (The analogy speaks for itself).

Chart of Proposed Spectrum
to align with proposed license Class's D,C,B & A

License Class	(formerly called)	Code Requirement	(Code Alternat	Proposed Spectrum e) Privlidges CW Voice
D	(Tech.)	N/A	N/A	50 MHz & up
с	(Gen.)	5 wpm	(5 wpm)	1.8 same 3.530-3.60 3.75-4.000 7.030-7.10 7.17-7.300 14.030-14.1 14.20-14.350 21.030-21.1 21.25-21.450 28.030-28.3 28.30-28.600
			WARC	10.1 MHz, 24.89 MHz
В	(Adv.)	12 wpm	(10wpm)	1.8 same 3.520-3.650 3.70-4.000 7.020-7.100 7.12-7.300 14.020-14.12 14.17-14.35 21.020-21.15 21.17-21.45 28.020-28.30 28.30-28.80
			WARC	10.1, 24.89, 18.68
A	(Extra)	18 wpm	(15wpm)	1.8 same 3.50-3.650 3.65-4.000 7.00-7.100 7.10-7.300 14.00-14.15 14.15-14.35 21.00-21.15 21.15-21.45 28.00-28.30 28.30-29.70
			WARC	10.1, 24.89, 18.68

Note: A very close scrutiny of this proposed Spectrum Allocation will show reasonable privlidges for each license class, with additional CW and Voice spectrum for each upgrade, on each major band (HF). For example, upgrading from Class C to B would allow 10 KHz of DX Window at the lower (CW) end of 20 meters, as well as 20 KHz at the upper CW end, and an additional 30 KHz of Voice spectrum, for a total of 60 KHz on 20 mtrs. The Class A (Extra Class) Code requirement remains in place, to justify additional CW & Voice spectrum in the DX sections of all major bands.

Conclusion and Recommendations:

If the included licensing and band-plan proposal appears too complicated and/or confusing for members of the Commission, then the following is recommended:

- A) Adopt the Four (4) level A.R.R.L. license (class) structure proposal, in concept;
- B) Adopt a <u>progressive</u> 5 word per-minute code requirement for each license upgrade, in order to improve proficiency and to justify additional Voice and CW Spectrum on the HF Bands (See Chart included under "Code-Alternate" column).
- C) Retain an entry level license class with VHF and UHF privlidges with no-code requirements, for the reasons stated;
- D) After adoption, follow-up in Six to Eight years with a review of the modified structure and with input from the A.R.R.L. and the Amateur Community at large. (This will also allow adjustments to Y2K in the various governmental, business and private sectors).

Submitted by:

G.D. Brentley

(N7DCS)

11816 N.E. 80th St.

Vancouver, WA. 98682

30 Nov. 1998